

Our cookie policy has changed. Review our [cookies policy](#) for more details and to change your cookie preference. By continuing to browse this site you are agreeing to our use of cookies.



World politics

Business & finance

Economics

Science & technology

Culture

Blogs

Debate

Multimedia

Print edition

Subscribe

Google™ Custom Search



Sporting mega-events

Just say no

Hosting the Olympics and the World Cup is bad for a city's health

Feb 28th 2015 | From the print edition



Sarajevo, September 19th 2013. Abandoned ski jump on Mount Igman. Most of the Olympic venues in Sarajevo have been reduced to rubble by neglect and the 1990s conflict.

Source: Reuters

Comment (22)

Timekeeper reading list

E-mail

Reprints & permissions

Print

Advertisement

Follow *The Economist*



Latest updates »



The Economist explains: Why Turkey called a NATO Article Four consultation
The Economist explains 27 mins ago



Rights and legislation: Can Congress over-ride a Supreme Court decision?
Democracy in America 27 mins ago



Libya: Death to Gaddafi
Middle East and Africa July 28th, 20:59



Terror, torture and psychology: How America's psychologists ended up...
Democracy in America July 28th, 20:20



Money talks: Peaks and valleys
Free exchange July 28th, 17:58



The Northeast rail corridor: America's rail fail
Gulliver July 28th, 16:36

Italian politics: Binmen and bus drivers test Rome's embattled mayor



Sarajevo winter Olympics 1984. Two men ride their luge sled down the bobsleigh track.

Source: Corbis



Sarajevo, September 19th 2013. The disused bobsleigh track became a Bosnian-Serb artillery stronghold during the war and now is often the target of vandalism.

Source: Reuters



Europe July 28th, 16:13

[More latest updates »](#)

Most commented



Politics and deal-making
Donald Trump's brazen genius
1

- 2 Turkey and the Kurds:** The truce between Turkey and Kurdish militants is over
- 3 Minimum wages:** A reckless wager
- 4 Greece and the euro:** From rage to resignation
- 5 Inside Silicon Valley:** Empire of the geeks

Advertisement

Products and events

Test your EQ

Take our weekly news quiz to stay on top of the headlines

Want more from *The Economist*?

Visit The Economist e-store and you'll find a range of carefully selected products for business and pleasure, Economist books and diaries, and much more

Advertisement



Athens summer Olympics 2004. Athletes and delegation members relax by the pool at the Olympic village.

Source: AFP



Athens, July 25th 2014. Garbage floats in a deserted swimming pool at the Olympic village. Many of Greece's once-gleaming Olympic venues have been abandoned.

Source: Reuters



Athens summer Olympics 2004. The beach volleyball venue. The Olympics now anger many Greeks as the country struggles through record unemployment and poverty.

Source: Alamy



Athens, August 13th 2014. The abandoned beach volleyball stadium. Many believe the Olympics were one of the factors that brought Greece financially to its knees.

Source: EPA



Beijing summer Olympics 2008. The men's canoe double heats of the canoe/ kayak slalom competition.

Source: Eyevine



Beijing, March 27th 2012. The unmaintained former venue for the kayaking competition can be seen on the outskirts of the capital.

Source: Reuters



Beijing summer Olympics 2008. Beach volleyball players walk to the Chaoyang Park ground.

Source: EPA



Beijing, April 2nd 2012. The beach volleyball venue. Many venues built for the Olympics have either been left vacant or completely demolished.

Source: Reuters

Circus Maximus: The Economic Gamble Behind Hosting the Olympics and the World Cup. By Andrew Zimbalist. *Brookings Institution Press*; 174 pages; \$25 and £18.50.

THERE may be few sweeter siren songs for public officials across the world than the dulcet tones of emissaries from the International Olympic Committee (IOC) and FIFA, the global governing body of football. To induce cities to bid to host the Olympics and World Cup, they promise infrastructure investment to modernise blighted areas, a lasting rise in tourism, improved public health, a month at the centre of the world stage and the eternal gratitude of constituents. And as for the costs? Well, the economic ripple effects will surely be so large that the spending will pay for itself, and it can always be financed with debt that comes due long after an officeholder has moved on.

Following the extensive media coverage of the economics of the London and Sochi Olympics and Brazil's World Cup, it should be no surprise that these lofty assurances rarely come to fruition. But even appropriately jaded readers are likely to be shocked by the evidence in "Circus Maximus", a brief polemic by Andrew Zimbalist, an American sports economist, which reveals the magnitude of the deception that precedes these events and the disappointment that follows. The book's misleading subtitle calls hosting the competitions an "economic gamble", implying it is a risky bet with a potentially high return. In fact, "Circus Maximus" leaves little doubt that under current conditions, prudent city governments should avoid the contests at all costs.

In this section

Just say no

Shape-shifting

In ancient times

Charging ahead


Many masters, many lives

Beyond borders

Reprints

In principle, there is no reason why hosting such events needs to be an economic own-goal. Between television rights, ticket sales, licensing and sponsorships, the most recent summer Olympics, in London, generated \$5.2 billion in revenue. In a city with sufficient existing athletic, hotel and transport infrastructure, it would be easy to stage the competition for less than that figure and come away with a healthy profit—as Los Angeles did in the highly successful 1984 summer games. But over the past few decades, the IOC, in particular, has appropriated an ever-greater share of the proceeds for itself: the most recent public data reveal that it now pockets more than 70% of Olympic television revenue, compared with less than 4% between 1960 and 1980 (see chart). And there is little evidence to support the projections that hosting will bring a surge in tourism: Beijing and London both attracted fewer visitors during their summer Olympics in 2008 and 2012 respectively than they had in the same period a year earlier.

The international organisations argue, in return, that they also contribute to the costs of staging the contests: in particular, FIFA funds the entire World Cup operating budget. However, "operating" costs account for only a small portion of the price of hosting tournaments. The lion's share is spent on construction, both on stadiums and on transport capacity to shuttle people between events. Those expenditures are borne entirely by the host. Although there is no formal requirement that such venues be new, the IOC and FIFA have consistently selected cities with the most ambitious plans for custom-built facilities. It is the need to build so much, so fast that leads to taxpayer-funded cost overruns that would be comic were they not so tragic, running from a low of four times the original estimate up to ten times or more.



Economist.com

To justify this spending, proponents of hosting often argue that these infrastructure projects will provide continuing benefits long after the events end. Such claims are almost offensively misleading. Mr Zimbalist offers a whirlwind tour of the "white elephants" that litter host cities following the Olympics or World Cup: in Athens a volleyball stadium inhabited by squatters and a softball park overgrown with trees; in Beijing a weed-infested cycling racetrack; in Brazil a football pitch with 40,000 seats now

used by a second-division team that draws around 1,500 fans a match. All of these structures cost millions of dollars a year to maintain, making the games' costs their enduring "legacy".

Perhaps the only encouraging finding in Mr Zimbalist's work is that potential hosts are getting wise to the bad deal the IOC and FIFA seek to foist on them. Twelve different cities bid for the 2004 Olympics, whereas the 2020 edition drew just five applicants. After Oslo dropped out last October, only two cities—Beijing and Almaty, Kazakhstan—are now candidates to host the 2022 winter Olympics, providing further support for a prediction in a 2012 report commissioned by the Dutch government that in the future only non-democratic countries will pay up to host the events. If the IOC, which markets the games as a force for peace and harmony, wants to avoid being turned into a propaganda tool for autocratic regimes, it may need to rethink. Thomas Bach, its head, recently established a working group to propose changes to the host-selection process.

Mr Zimbalist offers a number of proposals for reform. The most important would be for the IOC and FIFA to abandon their preference for new construction and give a fair hearing to bids relying on existing facilities. He also suggests limiting the number of cities bidding, adjusting the split of television revenue to favour host cities, making the organisations' voting systems more transparent and imposing term limits on their members. The IOC has clamped down on corruption. Both bodies need to do far more to curb costs and improve transparency and accountability. Nothing less than an Olympian advocacy campaign will be needed to change a system that has served many bigwigs so well.

From the print edition: Books and arts




View all comments (22) Add your comment



Want more? Subscribe to *The Economist* and get the week's most relevant news and analysis.

Classified ads



Contact us

Sections

United States
Britain
Europe
China
Asia

Blogs

Buttonwood's notebook
Democracy in America
Erasmus
Free exchange
Game theory

Research and insights

Topics
Economics A-Z
Style guide
The World in 2015
Which MBA?

[Help](#)

[My account](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Print edition](#)

[Digital editions](#)

[Events](#)

[Jobs.Economist.com](#)

[Timekeeper saved articles](#)

[Americas](#)
[Middle East & Africa](#)
[International](#)
[Business & finance](#)
[Economics](#)
[Markets & data](#)
[Science & technology](#)
[Special reports](#)
[Culture](#)
[Multimedia library](#)

Debate and discussion

[The Economist debates](#)
[Letters to the editor](#)
[The Economist Quiz](#)

[Graphic detail](#)
[Gulliver](#)
[Prospero](#)
[The Economist explains](#)

[MBA Services](#)
[The Economist GMAT Tutor](#)
[Reprints and permissions](#)

The Economist Group »

[The Economist Intelligence Unit](#)
[The Economist Intelligence Unit Store](#)
[The Economist Corporate Network](#)
[Ideas People Media](#)
[Intelligent Life](#)
[Roll Call](#)
[CQ](#)
[EuroFinance](#)
[The Economist Store](#)

[View complete site index »](#)